

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 16-7639**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DANIEL R. BUCZEK,

Claimant - Appellant,

and

BERNARD VON NOTHAUS,

Defendant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina,  
at Statesville. Richard L. Voorhees, District Judge. (5:09-cr-00027-RLV-DCK-1)

---

Submitted: May 23, 2017

Decided: May 25, 2017

---

Before KING, AGEE, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Daniel R. Buczek, Appellant Pro Se. Benjamin Bain-Creed, OFFICE OF THE UNITED  
STATES ATTORNEY, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Daniel R. Buczek appeals the district court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion<sup>\*</sup> for relief from the court's prior order dismissing Buczek's petition claiming an ownership interest in certain property subject to criminal forfeiture. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, although we grant Buczek leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *See United States v. Buczek*, No. 5:09-cr-00027-RLV-DCK-1 (W.D.N.C. Oct. 20, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*

---

<sup>\*</sup> Buczek's motion was self-styled, in part, as a "Writ of Error Objecting & Dismissing Order of Richard L. Voorhees." The district court construed this motion, in which Buczek also sought sanctions against the federal prosecutors involved in the underlying criminal matter, as a Rule 60(b) motion for relief from judgment. Buczek does not contest this characterization on appeal.